

530,947

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
22 April 2004 (22.04.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/033735 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C22B 11/00**,
11/10 // 3/00, 15:00

MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC,
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA,
UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/FI2003/000707

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO,
SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(22) International Filing Date:
30 September 2003 (30.09.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
20021813 11 October 2002 (11.10.2002) FI

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted
a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE,
AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA,
CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES,
FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE,
KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD,
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH,
PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO
patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG,
ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU,
TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT,
RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **OUT-
OKUMPU OYJ** [FI/FI]; Riihitontuntie 7, FIN-02200 Es-
poo (FI).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **HYVÄRINEN, Olli**
[FI/FI]; Suoniityntie 18, FIN-28220 Pori (FI). **JYRÄLÄ,
Marika** [FI/FI]; Asemapäällikönkatu 2 as. 28, FIN-28100
Pori (FI).

(74) Agent: **OUTOKUMPU OYJ, INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT**; P.O.Box 27, FIN-02201
Espoo (FI).

Published:

- with international search report

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHOD FOR REMOVAL OF SILVER FROM A COPPER CHLORIDE SOLUTION

(57) Abstract: The invention relates to a method for removing silver from a chloride solution in a copper recovery process. Accord-
ing to this method, silver is removed using fine-grained copper powder and mercury. Silver removal occurs in at least two stages and
mercury is fed into the solution at a certain molar ratio with regard to the silver in the solution.



WO 2004/033735 A1

METHOD FOR REMOVAL OF SILVER FROM A COPPER CHLORIDE SOLUTION

The invention relates to a method for removing silver from a copper chloride solution in a copper recovery process. According to this method, silver is removed using fine-grained copper powder and mercury. Silver removal occurs in at least two stages and mercury is fed into the solution at a certain molar ratio with regard to the silver in the solution.

US patent publication 6,007,600 describes a method for the hydrometallurgical production of copper from copper-containing raw materials such as copper sulphide concentrate. According to the method the raw material is leached counter-currently with a strong sodium chloride-copper chloride solution in several stages to form a monovalent copper(I) chloride solution. Since both some divalent copper chloride and impurities composed of other metals always remain in the solution, reduction of the divalent copper and solution purification are performed. The pure cuprous chloride solution is precipitated with sodium hydroxide to copper oxidule and the oxidule is reduced further to elemental copper. The sodium chloride solution generated during copper oxidule precipitation is treated further in chlor-alkali electrolysis, and the chlorine gas and/or chloride solution obtained from this is used as a raw material for leaching, the sodium hydroxide formed in electrolysis for oxidule precipitation and the hydrogen for the reduction of copper to elemental copper. US patent publication 6,007,600 focuses on the copper recovery method as a whole, but silver recovery for example is not described in detail.

When copper raw material is dissolved so that the copper in the chloride solution is in monovalent form, it means that silver has also dissolved. Since the properties of the metals are near each other, separation by precipitation with copper powder alone is not enough to provide a sufficiently good end result but silver recovery requires the use of other methods too.

According to the method in the above-mentioned US patent 6,007,600 copper oxidule is precipitated from the cuprous chloride solution using sodium hydroxide. In copper oxidule precipitation, silver is precipitated from the solution with the copper. Since the intention is to produce LME A grade copper in this process, it is important that the level of silver in the cuprous chloride solution corresponds to the purity requirements of LME A grade copper. For example, the permissible amount of silver in LME A grade cathode copper is <25 ppm (BS 6017:1981). If there is for instance 60g/L of copper in the copper chloride solution, then the amount of silver in the solution must be less than 1.5 mg/L in order to attain the required LME grade.

US patent publication 5,487,819 describes the method developed by Intec Ltd for the hydrometallurgical production of copper from a copper-containing raw material such as copper sulphide concentrate. According to this method, the raw material is leached in a countercurrent leaching with a sodium chloride-copper chloride solution in several stages to form a copper(I) chloride solution. The solution formed also undergoes silver removal. First the cuprous chloride solution is passed over elemental copper in order to precipitate silver on the surface of the copper. Then the solution is routed to the electrowinning cell, which is equipped with a mixer. The cell may contain a copper anode, which is surrounded by a cylindrical titanium wire gauze cathode, or the electrodes may be formed of granular or briquette copper in a titanium basket. Mercury in ionic form is fed to the solution and a Cu/Hg/Ag amalgam is formed on the cathode. The amalgam is dissolved in a strong solution of cupric chloride, which breaks the amalgam down into cupric and mercury ions. When the solution is diluted, silver chloride is precipitated and is then treated thermally to produce metallic silver.

30

An article entitled "Intec Copper Sustainable Processing", 21 Dec. 2001, is available on Intec Ltd's website which describes the present silver removal in

the copper recovery process. According to the article, the removal of silver from the copper chloride solution going to copper electrowinning is performed by adding soluble mercury and aluminium to the pregnant solution. Aluminium forms a 'copper sponge' with the copper in the solution, which has a large surface area, enabling the silver to be removed galvanically from the solution as an amalgam. The amalgam is treated to produce soluble mercury for recycle to the beginning of the circuit. The silver is recovered as silver bullion.

- 10 US patent 4,124,379 describes a method for the recovery of copper from concentrates containing silver and iron using chloride leaching. In a silver removal column there is formed of an amalgam of mercury and some other metal such as copper, iron or zinc. Iron and zinc alone would reduce the monovalent copper completely from the solution, but when they form and
15 amalgam with mercury, the copper is precipitated only a little. Copper is the preferred metal and can be used in granular form, which is covered with mercury. The cuprous chloride solution is fed into the column and brought in contact with the amalgam, and the metal in the amalgam replaces the silver in the solution. Silver is recovered in a silver recovery circuit, where mercury
20 distillation takes place.

The silver electrolysis with titanium wire-gauze cathodes described in US patent 5,487,819 appears complicated to implement. In the more recent version of the process electrolysis has been replaced by the addition of
25 mercury and aluminium to the solution. However, the aim in production of pure copper is to avoid introducing all new ions such as the aluminium ion into the solution, since they generally also require their own removal process. US patent 4,124,379 has two stages: the coating of copper (or some other metal) with mercury and the distillation of mercury, which are not
30 recommended these days for reasons of environmental hygiene.

Now a method has been developed for the removal of silver from a cuprous chloride solution in the hydrometallurgical recovery process of copper. The method is simpler than those described above and does not require the addition of extra substances to the cuprous chloride solution other than
5 soluble mercury and copper powder.

It is characteristic of the method of this invention that the precipitation of the silver from the cuprous chloride solution occurs in at least two stages using soluble mercury and fine copper powder. In the silver recovery stages there
10 is some fine-grained copper in the precipitation stage reactors, which deposits the silver from the solution. This reaction does not entirely reach completion, as the copper also acts as a precipitation surface in the amalgam precipitation that occurs with mercury. In the first amalgam precipitation stage the molar ratio of the mercury to be fed into the solution to
15 the silver in the solution is adjusted to between 0.5 - 2 to one and in the second stage the mercury: silver molar ratio is adjusted to a minimum of 2 to one. Any mercury left in the cuprous chloride solution is precipitated out with fine copper powder so that the solution going for further treatment is mercury-free. The deposit formed in precipitation and the remaining fine-
20 grained copper in it is recycled countercurrently to the direction of the solution in the silver removal stages. The precipitated silver-amalgam is treated to leach the mercury and recycle it back to the silver precipitation stages while the silver is deposited as silver chloride.

25 The essential features of the invention will become apparent in the attached claims.

In the hydrometallurgical recovery of copper based on chloride leaching the first stage is usually the leaching of a sulphidic copper concentrate, where
30 the copper in the subsequent solution is mainly monovalent. In these circumstances silver also dissolves in monovalent form. Whether the further treatment of the solution is electrowinning or copper oxidule precipitation, it is

beneficial that all the copper in the solution is monovalent. For this reason after leaching the divalent copper of the concentrate in the solution is removed either by reduction or precipitation. The next process stage is the removal of impurities (other metals) and silver removal can be seen as a part of this stage. The precipitation of silver from the cuprous chloride solution as an amalgam in accordance with this invention is simple, in that special conditions are not required. In fact it can be carried out at the temperature and pH the solution has when it comes from the preceding process stage. The temperature of the solution from the divalent copper removal stage is in the range of 50 – 70°C and the pH 1 – 5. The reactors used in the different stages of silver removal are mixing reactors. The stage may include one or several reactors, although in the description of the invention we speak for simplicity of only one reactor per stage. Silver removal can be performed either as a batch or a continuous process. In particular, it is preferable to arrange the flow of solution from one stage to the next continuously.

The first stage of silver removal is preferably a precipitate stage using only fine-grained copper. In this way using only copper precipitate the silver level in the solution can drop to around 30 mg/l and at the same time the use of mercury in subsequent stages is minimized. The precipitated metallic silver can be kept in the reactor until all the copper has dissolved and the pure (in practice over 90 %) silver powder can be recovered from the reactor. Copper precipitation occurs according to the following reaction:



If the amount of silver in the raw material is small, for instance less than 30 mg/l, the silver precipitation stage performed with copper powder only may be omitted completely and the precipitation stages performed using mercury only may be used instead.

The cuprous chloride solution is fed to the second stage of silver removal, which takes place with mercury. This can also be called the first amalgam

precipitation stage. The reactor contains copper, including also copper amalgam, which has been moved there from a later silver removal stage. An amount of soluble mercury is fed to the solution with the molar ratio of between 0.5 and 2, preferably 1, to the amount of silver present in the cuprous chloride solution. The majority of the silver in the solution precipitates in this stage as a silver amalgam. The reactions can be described for example as follows:



The reactions show that when the silver and mercury precipitate from the solution as a silver amalgam, the copper dissolves at the same time. The precipitate is removed from the reactor for mercury leaching and silver recovery.

The cuprous chloride solution is fed to the third silver removal stage, which may also be termed the second amalgam precipitation stage. The molar ratio of the mercury fed to this stage to the silver in the solution is at least two to one, preferably five to one. Since there is only a few milligrams of silver left dissolved in the cuprous chloride solution, less than ten per cent, the amount of mercury required in this stage is however smaller than the amount fed to the first stage. Additionally in this stage there is some fine-grained copper in the reactor, fed there from the mercury removal stage. Mercury is precipitated from the solution forming a copper amalgam on the surface of the copper, onto which silver is precipitated as a silver amalgam in accordance with reactions (2) and (3). The precipitate is conveyed to the first amalgam precipitation stage. After precipitate, the silver content of the cuprous chloride solution removed from the third stage has fallen to such a level that the amount of silver in the final product is less than that demanded for the LME grade.

30

In order for the cuprous chloride solution to be mercury-free, a further mercury removal is performed on the solution in the mercury removal stage.

Mercury is removed using fine-grained copper and the amount of Cu powder to be fed is in the range of 100 g/l when its particle size is below 200 μm . The copper used in the precipitation stages may be coarser, but in that case the amount used is greater, because the precipitation surface decreases as the particle size grows. The solid material that settles on the reactor floor is moved countercurrently to the solution i.e. the solids obtained from the mercury removal stage are recycled to the third silver removal stage and from there on to the second stage, where they are removed for silver and mercury separation.

The deposit removed from the second silver removal stage (the first amalgam precipitation stage) contains mainly a silver amalgam, which includes a little copper. The deposit is leached into a dilute chloride solution by oxidation. The oxidant may be for instance hydrogen peroxide H_2O_2 , oxygen O_2 or sodium hypochlorite NaOCl . During leaching mercury dissolves and is fed as a mercury chloride solution back to the precipitation stages. Silver precipitates in these conditions as silver chloride and is routed for the desired further treatment for recovery as metallic silver. The Hg chloride content of the solution obtained from the leaching stage is adjusted to get the correct molar ratio before being fed to the precipitation stages.

The invention is described further with the aid of the attached diagram, where

Figure 1 is a flowsheet of one method in accordance with the invention.

According to the flowsheet in Figure 1 a cuprous chloride solution is fed to the first silver removal stage I, where part of the silver in the solution is removed by copper powder precipitation alone. The amount of copper powder fed to stage I is in the range of 100 g/L, when the particle size is less than 200 μm . The reactor used in this stage is a mixing reactor, from which both the solution and the metallic silver mixed in it can be fed to the

subsequent stage or the silver separated from the bottom of the reactor by dissolving the copper (not shown in the diagram).

The cuprous chloride solution from stage I is fed to stage II, which is the first
5 amalgam precipitation stage. Soluble mercury is also added in the form of mercury chloride for example. The amount of mercury to be fed is in a molar ratio of 0.5 - 2:1 of the amount of silver in the solution. In the final silver removal stage III the precipitated silver amalgam and the undissolved copper
10 powder are fed to the precipitation stage II, countercurrently to the cuprous chloride solution. Over 90 % of the silver still in the solution is precipitated in the second stage, and the silver amalgam is fed to the silver separation stage IV.

In separation stage IV the silver amalgam is leached in a dilute chloride
15 solution by oxidizing the solution. Oxidation can take place for instance using sodium hypochlorite. As a result of leaching the mercury dissolves as mercury chloride and the silver is precipitated as silver chloride. The mercury chloride solution is fed back to stages II and III. If any undissolved copper has been remained in the amalgam deposit when the deposit is routed to the
20 oxidation/leaching stage, the entry of copper in the mercury chloride solution does not harm the process.

The third silver removal stage III i.e. the second amalgam precipitation stage functions like the first one, but now the molar ratio of the mercury to be fed to
25 the solution to that of the silver in the solution is determined so that there are at least 2, preferably 5, moles of mercury to each mole of silver. The molar ratio may be anywhere between 2 – 10. The precipitate from the final stage V of silver removal is also conveyed to this stage. The silver content of the solution leaving this stage is less than 1 mg/L, which corresponds to a
30 content of <25 ppm in the finished product. The silver amalgam precipitated from the solution and any undissolved copper powder are conveyed on to the second silver removal stage.

So that no mercury remains in the cuprous chloride solution, mercury is removed from the solution in mercury removal stage V by adding fine-grained copper powder to the solution. The amount of copper powder to be fed is around 100 g/l, when the particle size of the powder is below 200 μm . The mercury in the solution is precipitated on the surface of the copper in accordance with reaction (2) so that in practice there is no mercury in the solution exiting this stage. The precipitate is conveyed to the second amalgam removal stage. After the removal of the mercury the cuprous chloride solution is routed to the other stages of solution purification.

Example 1

The removal of silver from a cuprous chloride solution was studied in continuous laboratory pilot tests. Silver removal was done in three stages in mixing reactors connected in series. A batch of fine-grained copper powder with an average particle size of 100 μm was placed in the reactors. The effective volume of the reactors was 1.5 litres. The feed solution was a concentrated chloride solution of monovalent copper with a copper content of 60 g/L and a sodium chloride content of about 280 g/L. The solution flow was 1.5 L/h and the temperature 60 °C. The silver content of the feed solution was 110 mg/L and the pH 3. The target was to reduce the silver content of the solution to below 1 mg/L.

In the first stage silver was removed from the solution by precipitation in the mixing reactor using a batch of pure copper powder. In this precipitation stage the silver content of the solution fell to around 30 mg/L.

The solution, with a silver content of around 30 mg/L, was routed to the second stage, where there was a batch of copper powder in the mixing reactor. 60 mg/L of mercury as a solution of HgCl_2 was fed continuously to

the second stage, corresponding to a molar ratio of 1:1 with regard to the silver content of the feed solution. Silver and mercury were precipitated from the solution together forming an AgHg amalgam corresponding to their feed ratio on the surface of the copper particles. At the same time copper
5 dissolved in the solution as Cu^+ ions. After the second stage the silver content of the solution was about 3 mg/L.

The solution, with a silver content of about 3 mg/L, was routed to the third stage, where there was a batch of copper powder in the mixing reactor. 30
10 mg/L of mercury as a solution of HgCl_2 was also fed continuously to the third stage, corresponding to a molar ratio of 5:1 with regard to the silver content of the feed solution. Mercury was precipitated from the solution forming a layer of CuHg amalgam on the particle surface. The CuHg amalgam formed deposited the silver from the solution. After the third silver removal stage the
15 silver content of the solution was as targeted below 1 mg/L.

In all three silver removal stages it was possible to utilize the copper batches in the reactors in the precipitation reactions almost completely. Thus in theory the feed of solution could continue as long as copper remained in the
20 reactors. In practice at the end of the tests less than 5% copper remained in the solids in the reactors.

The HgCl_2 solution used for the mercury addition was prepared by leaching a deposit containing mercury, silver and copper. The deposit is formed in the
25 second silver removal stage, when silver and mercury are precipitated on the surface of copper powder and the copper dissolves at the same time. This deposit is leached by oxidizing into a dilute chloride solution, whereby the silver is recovered as a poorly soluble silver chloride (AgCl) and the mercury can be recycled as an HgCl_2 solution to the silver removal precipitation

stage. If the deposit contains copper, it dissolves and ends up in solution with the mercury and further on in the silver removal precipitation stage.

5 50 g deposit, with a composition of 65 % Hg, 25 % Ag and 10 % Cu was leached by oxidizing into 1 litre of 1 M HCl solution at a temperature of 80 °C. The oxidant used was a solution of NaOCl, which maintained a high oxidation potential, over +800 mV (vs. AgCl/Ag). The mercury and the copper dissolved into the solution as divalent ions. The silver and the chloride formed silver chloride, which is poorly soluble in a dilute chloride
10 solution. The AgCl deposit was removed from the solution.

After the three-stage silver removal series a fourth mixing reactor was connected, with a batch of copper powder in the reactor. The copper deposited the mercury left in the solution from the previous stages, and after
15 mercury removal the Hg content of the solution was less than 0.2 mg/L.

PATENT CLAIMS

1. A method for the removal of silver from a cuprous chloride solution in a copper recovery process, **characterized in that** silver is removed
5 from a cuprous chloride solution with soluble mercury using fine-grained copper in at least two stages, whereby mercury is fed to the solution at different stages in a certain molar ratio with regard to the silver in the solution, a generated silver amalgam is precipitated on the surface of fine-grained copper, the amalgam is removed from the
10 solution for the separation of mercury and silver, after which soluble mercury is recycled back to silver removal and the precipitated silver compound is treated for the recovery of silver.
2. A method according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the molar ratio
15 of mercury to silver in the first amalgam precipitation stage is 0.5– 2.
3. A method according to claims 1 or 2, **characterized in that** the molar ratio of mercury to silver in the second amalgam precipitation stage is at least 2.
20
4. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the molar ratio of mercury to silver in the second amalgam precipitation stage is between 2 – 10.
- 25 5. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the particle size of the fine-grained copper is less than 200 μm .
6. A method according to claim 5, **characterized in that** the amount of copper powder feed is in the range of 100 g/L.
30

- 5
7. A method according any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the copper powder is fed to a mercury removal stage after the silver removal stages, from which it moves countercurrently in relation to the solution flow.
- 10
8. A method according to any of the prededing claims, **characterized in that** the precipitated silver amalgam is leached into a dilute chloride solution using an oxidant, whereby the mercury dissolves as mercury chloride and the silver precipitates as silver chloride.
- 15
9. A method according to claim 8, **characterized in that** the oxidant used is sodium hypochlorite.
10. A method according to claim 8, **characterized in that** the oxidant used is hydrogen peroxide.
- 20
11. A method according to claim 8, **characterized in that** the oxidant used is oxygen.
- 25
12. A method according to claim 8, **characterized in that** the mercury chloride is routed back to silver leaching.
13. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the silver chloride is routed to silver recovery.
- 30
14. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the alkali chloride content of the concentrated chloride solution is at least 200 g/L.
15. A method according to any of the prededing claims, **characterized in that** the amount of monovalent copper in the solution to be purified is 30 – 100 g/L.

16. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** silver removal is performed at a pH value of 1 - 5.
- 5 17. A method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** before the amalgam precipitation that occurs with mercury, the silver is removed from the cuprous chloride solution using fine-grained copper.
- 10 18. A method according to claim 17, **characterized in that** the particle size of the copper powder is less than 200 μm .
19. A method according to claim 18, **characterized in that** the amount of copper powder feed is around 100 g/L.




Figure 1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 03/00707

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: C22B 11/00, C22B 11/10 // C22B 3/00, C22B 15:00
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: C22B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-INTERNAL, WPI DATA, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DE 3812501 A1 (KRAFT, BERND), 20 July 1989 (20.07.89), abstract --	1-19
A	US 4124379 A (MARK A. PETERS ET AL), 7 November 1978 (07.11.78), abstract --	1-19
A	US 5487819 A (PETER K. EVERETT), 30 January 1996 (30.01.96), abstract -- -----	1-19

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 December 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

30-12-2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Swedish Patent Office
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Anna-Maj Magnusson/MP
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

31/10/03

International application No.

PCT/FI 03/00707

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
DE	3812501	A1	20/07/89	NONE		
US	4124379	A	07/11/78	BE	868802 A	08/01/79
US	5487819	A	30/01/96	AP	538 A	18/09/96
				AP	9300550 D	00/00/00
				AT	184659 T	15/10/99
				AU	669906 B	27/06/96
				AU	4299993 A	24/01/94
				BR	9306622 A	08/12/98
				CA	2138777 A,C	06/01/94
				CN	1038348 B	13/05/98
				CN	1091157 A	24/08/94
				DE	69326462 D,T	27/01/00
				DK	646185 T	20/12/99
				EP	0646185 A,B	05/04/95
				SE	0646185 T3	
				ES	2139009 T	01/02/00
				FI	103989 B	00/00/00
				FI	946086 A	26/01/95
				GR	3031436 T	31/01/00
				HU	72104 A	28/03/96
				HU	220835 B	29/06/02
				IN	187010 A	22/12/01
				JP	2857930 B	17/02/99
				JP	7508073 T	07/09/95
				MX	9303884 A	31/01/94
				NO	303786 B	31/08/98
				NO	945025 A	27/02/95
				NZ	252952 A	21/12/95
				PL	171300 B	28/03/97
				RU	2111270 C	20/05/98
				WO	9400606 A	06/01/94
				ZA	9304594 A	31/01/94
				KR	243922 B	02/03/00